# Study of hardness and tensile behaviour of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reinforced Al-Cu alloy metal matrix composites by stir squeeze casting

# GN Lokesh<sup>1\*</sup>, S Shivakumar<sup>2</sup> and S Karunakara<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Presidency University, Rajanukunte, Yelahanka, Bangalore, Karnataka <sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sir M Visvesvaraya Institute of Technology, Yelahanka, Bangalore, Karnataka <sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, City Engineering College, Kanakpura road, Bangalore, Karnataka

#### ABSTRACT

*Keywords:* MMCs, Hematite, Stir and Squeeze casting

The processing of metal matrix composites (MMCs) by casting process is a very promising way of manufacturing near net shape composites at relatively low cost. The liquid metallurgy stir, squeeze casting technique has the characteristics such as fine microstructure as a result of rapid cooling, low porosity and good bonding between the particles and base alloy. Hematite is a common iron oxide with a formula called Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and has been widespread in rocks and soils. Hematite forms in the shape of crystals through the rhombohedral lattice system, and it has the same crystal structure as Imenite and corundum. The paper presents the results of experimental investigation on mechanical properties of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> particle reinforced aluminium metal matrix composite. The influence of 3, 6, 9 and 12wt%  $Fe_{a}O_{a}$ -p reinforced on mechanical properties was examined and the outcome of the tests revealed that hematite particles can be successfully used as a reinforcement material and it does not deteriorate the properties. The increase in hematite particle percentage and high squeeze pressure resulted in high density of the compact, thereby increasing compression strength, tensile strength and hardness of the composite. The results also showed that for the same base alloy the squeeze cast shows higher hardness, tensile and compression strength compared to the gravity cast base alloy. Squeeze cast composites exhibit higher hardness tensile and compression properties by increasing weight percentage of reinforcements. The microphotographs of squeeze cast samples shows uniform dispersion of the reinforcements in MMCs with good bonding between the matrix and reinforcement.

## 1. Introduction

The physical and mechanical properties of Aluminium-based metal matrix composites (MMCs) have made them attractive materials for automotive and aerospace applications [1-3]. Cost is the key factor for their wider application in modern industry, although potential benefits in weight reduction, increased composites life and improved recyclability should be taken into account [4-5]. Even today MMCs are still significantly more expensive than their competitors. Cost reductions can be achieved only by simpler fabrication methods, higher production volumes and cheaper reinforcements [6-11]. Compared

\*Corresponding author, E-mail: lokesh.gn@presidencyuniversity.in with permanent - mold casting, mechanical properties of the alloy prepared by squeeze casting are much higher [12-17]. Micro particulates are very economical because of their low prices and easy dispersion during fabrication. The micron particle reinforced Al matrix composites own the potential commercial use for its relatively low cost and good mechanical properties. Among various discontinues disperoids used, hematite (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is one of the several iron oxides with a rust-red streak, most inexpensive, harder than iron and very brittle reinforcement available in large quantities [18-20]. Addition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> particle as reinforcement in MMCs is advantages for obtaining higher structural homogeneity with minimum possible porosity levels, good interfacial bonding, higher mechanical strength and act as a load bearing constituents [21-22]. Many authors reported that Al-Cu alloy accelerates the

#### **Technical Paper**

age-hardening and also the stiffness, hardness, tensile strength, compression strength, flexural strength, fatigue strength and abrasive wear resistance are all substantially improved together with addition of hard reinforcements [23-26]. To meet the requirements of today's situation. advancements of materials has been the prime focus and more and more newer materials have been developed to satisfy the present day needs. In the present investigation an attempt has been made by considering Al-Cu alloy as base matrix, casted by both gravity and squeeze casting. Different weight percentage of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> particulates is reinforced by squeeze casting route. The liquid casting mainly squeeze casting is preferred as it is the simplest, cheapest and persuadable to mass production. The samples obtained were tested for hardness tensile and compression Microphotographs using scanning strength. electron microscope (SEM) has been carried out to investigate the particle-matrix interface.

## 2. Experimental Work

In the present work, squeeze casting system was constructed to produce specimens. A die and plunger was designed and made of cast iron for die, and tool steel for plunger. Melting of base alloy, degassing and additions of particulates were performed in an electrically resistance heated furnace. Graphite crucible was used for melting of matrix alloy, and the addition and mixing of particulates were made into the melt in the crucible. For squeeze casting operations a constant 130MPa pressure was used for unreinforced matrix alloy and the composites. Al-Cu alloy was additionally produced by a gravity die casting method into a cast iron mould heated to around 350°C to compare the properties with squeeze cast unreinforced alloy. Al–Cu alloy was chosen as matrix alloy because of its widespread commercial applications. Four composites were produced by introducing  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particulates with 3, 6, 9 and 12wt% to the Al–Cu matrix with the particle size varying between 24 and 36µm shown in Fig 1.

A stir casting setup which consisted of a resistance furnace and a stirrer assembly is used to synthesis the composite. Al-4.5wt.%Cu alloy commercially prepared was melted in a resistance heated muffle furnace and casted in a clay graphite crucible. The temperature of the melting is increased to 750°C and it is degassed by cleansed with hexachloroethane tablets. Then the molten metal was stirred to create a vortex and the reinforcements were added. The stirrer is maintained approximately 450rpm. The preheated Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were slowly added into the melt with Mg (0.4wt.%) were also added to ensure good wettability of particles. Graphite lubricated die and plunger were preheated to 450°C to avoid premature chilling. Solidification was carried out under the pressure of 130MPa for a period of 60 to 120 seconds immediately after stirring. Composites produced were subjected to T6 heat treatment as the base metal is age hardening. The castings were heated to 450°C for 12 hours, quenched in 100°C water and reheated to 150°C for 16 hours. Specimens of the unreinforced matrix alloy were also squeeze cast under identical conditions. Furthermore, a sample of the unreinforced matrix alloy was produced by gravity die casting in order to determine pressure effects of composites. Squeeze casting specimens and unreinforced matrix allovs produced by both gravity and squeeze were cut to prepare samples for mechanical tests and metallographic examinations. The chemical



**Fig.1.** Hematite (a) Photograph of  $Fe_2O_3$  (b) SEM of  $Fe_2O_3$  (24-36 microns).

composition of samples casted is shown in Table 1.

The hardness measurement was carried out using a Brinell hardness tester. Tensile test samples having 6mm diameter with a gauge length of 25mm, were prepared for testing in Tensometer. The Compression strengths were determined using a computerized UTM with an electronic extensometer as per ASTM E-8 standards. Online plotting of load versus extension was done continuously through a data acquisition system. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) of the composite after casting was examined to study the effect of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> percentage on polished section of each sample.

## 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1 Hardness

Comparing the hardness of both gravity and squeeze casting of base alloy and composites, it was found from Fig. 2 that by increasing the wt% of  $Fe_2O_2$ , the hardness of composite gradually





#### Table 1

Measured chemical composition of samples (wt.%).

increased. The squeeze casting of base alloy exhibit higher hardness when compare to gravity casting. The gravity casting exhibit a hardness of 82BHN and the same allow when squeezed to a pressure of 130MPa has a hardness of 96BHN which is almost 14% increase in hardness of squeezed specimen. Application of pressure during solidification in squeeze casting minimizes porosity and makes the matrix to resist plastic deformation rendering higher hardness [8]. The hardness of composites increases with increasing percentage of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with a peak value of 143BHN for 12wt% reinforcements. This may be due to combined effect of denser matrix and hard ceramic particle during squeeze which gives homogeneous crystal structure. The dendrite arms were broken down and fine-grained equiaxed microstructure will obtained by squeeze casting.

## 3.2 Tensile strength

The influence of  $Fe_2O_3$  particulate content on the Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the MMC is shown in Fig.3. It was noted that the UTS increases



**Fig.3.** Variation of gravity cast, squeeze cast and  $Fe_2O_3$  composite on ultimate tensile strength.

Sample		Composition	
Gravity cast matrix alloy	Sample 1	Al-Cu alloy	
Squeeze cast matrix alloy	Sample 2	Al-Cu alloy	
Squeeze cast Composite 1	Sample 3	Al-Cu alloy+3wt% $Fe_2O_3$	
Squeeze cast Composite 2	Sample 4	Al-Cu alloy+6wt% Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
Squeeze cast Composite 3	Sample 5	Al-Cu alloy+9wt% Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
Squeeze cast Composite 4	Sample 6	Al-Cu alloy+12wt% Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	

Manufacturing Technology Today, Vol. 18, No. 11, November 2019

#### **Technical Paper**

with the addition of reinforcements. The UTS shows the peak value of 163MPa for 12wt. %.  $Fe_2O_3$  reinforced and shows an increase of strength to around 25% when compared to squeeze cast of base alloy. This is due to the hard and heavier microsphere of  $Fe_2O_3$  which act as barriers to the movement of dislocation and refines the structure of matrix. Increase in UTS is possible due to the thermal mismatch between the metallic matrix and the  $Fe_2O_3$  which is a major mechanism for increasing the dislocation density. Similarly the UTS of squeeze cast base alloy are 122MPa and that of gravity cast is 110MPa. which is around 10% increase in strength. This could be due to the applied pressure while squeezing. The applied





pressure attributed to eliminating of micro-pores in the alloy and microstructure refining enhancement of solubility of solute [27].

#### 3.3 Compression strength

Fig.4 shows the results obtained from uniaxial compression as a function of  $Fe_2O_3$  particulate. Increase in percentage of  $Fe_2O_3$  increases the compression strength of composites. This is due to the hardening of the base alloy by  $Fe_2O_3$  particulates and has highest weight percentage and this may increase the density of the material which cause in increase in compressive strength. The squeeze cast base alloy exhibit higher compression strength compared to gravity cast and this may be due to compaction pressure applied during squeeze made the casting finer grain size and low porosity.

#### 3.4 Microphotographs

Fig.5 shows the SEM microphotographs of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particle reinforced MMCs. The application of pressure can affect the virtual elimination of shrinkage and other voids and discontinuities. Therefore, pressure applied during solidification of an alloy can result in fine grained equiaxed macro-structure with microstructure being characterized by small dendrite arm spacing, small constituent particles and more homogeneous distribution of structural components. The matrix structure of the composite shows a smaller



**Fig.5.** SEM of Al-4.5wt% Cu alloy reinforced  $Fe_2O_3$  (100X): (a) 3wt%  $Fe_2O_3$ ; (b) 6wt%  $Fe_2O_3$ ; (c) 9wt%  $Fe_2O_3$ ; and (d) 12wt%  $Fe_2O_3$ .

grain size then that of base alloy. The increasing percentage of  $Fe_2O_3$  particulate leads to a finer grain size. These results may be due to the presence of  $Fe_2O_3$  which acts as sites of nucleation during solidification of the melt. The microstructure of this and similar composites shows the absence of voids and a uniform distribution of  $Fe_2O_3$  in the matrix structure.

## 4. Conclusions

In the present study, the squeeze casting with 130MPa pressure and 350°C die plunger temperature was chosen. The gravity cast and squeeze cast of Al-Cu alloy with 3, 6, 9 and 12wt%  $Fe_2O_3$  particulate reinforced matrix alloys have shown the followings:

- 1. The hardness of squeeze cast matrix alloy increased from 82 to 96 BHN with the applied pressure of 130MPa. In the composites hardness increased with increasing percentage of  $Fe_2O_3$  with the recorded value of 143BHN for 12wt% reinforcements.
- 2. The ultimate tensile strength and compression strength of squeeze cast samples increases with increase in percentage of  $Fe_2O_2$ .
- 3. The gravity cast base alloy has lower UTS and compression strength when compare to all squeeze cast base alloy and composites.
- SEM micrographs show the uniform dispersion of the reinforcements in MMCs with good bonding between the matrix and reinforcements.

## Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere thanks to School of Engineering, Presidency University, City Engineering College, Bangalore and BMS College of Engineering, Bangalore, India, for providing financial assistance and laboratory facilities to conduct research.

## 5. References

- Hosseinzadeh, Ali; Yapici, Guney Guven: High temperature characteristics of Al2024/SiC metal matrix composite fabricated by friction stir processing, 'Materials Science and Engineering: A', vol. 731, 2018, 487-494.
- Nosaldusuyi; Olayinka, John I: Dry sliding wear characteristics of aluminium metal matrix composites: A brief overview, 'Journal of Materials Research and Technology', vol. 8, no. 3, 2019, 3338-3346.
- 3. Yan, Cui; Lifeng, Wang; Jianyue, Ren: Multi-functional SiC/Al composites for Aerospace Applications, 'Chinese

Journal of Aeronautics', vol. 21, no. 6, 2008, 578-584.

- 4. Ibrahim, IA; Mohamed, FA; Lavernia, EJ: Particulate reinforced metal matrix composites a review, 'Journal of Materials Science', vol. 26, 1991, 1137-1156.
- Guo, Xiaolei; Guo, Qiang; Nie, Junhui; Liu, Zhiying; Zhang, Di: Particle size effect on the interfacial properties of SiC particle-reinforced Al-Cu-Mg composites, Materials Science and Engineering: A, vol. 711, 2018, 643-649.
- Beffort, Olivier; Long, Siyuan; Cayron, Cyril; Kuebler, Jakob; Buffat, Philippe-Andre: Alloying effects on microstructure and mechanical properties of high volume fraction SiC-particle reinforced Al-MMCs made by squeeze casting infiltration, 'Composites Science and Technology', vol. 67, 2007, 737–745.
- Onat, Adem: Mechanical and dry sliding wear properties of silicon carbide particulate reinforced aluminium– copper alloy matrix composites produced by direct squeeze casting method, 'Journal of Alloys and Compounds', vol. 489, 2010, 119–124.
- Suresh, KR; Niranjan, HB; Jebaraj, P Martin; Chowdiah, MP: Tensile and wear properties of aluminum composites, 'Wear', vol. 255, 2003, 638–642.
- Singh, Jaswinder; Chauhan, Amit: Characterization of hybrid aluminum matrix composites for advanced applications – A review, 'Journal of Materials Research and Technology', vol. 5, no. 2, 2016, 159-169.
- Nakashima, K; Horita, Z; Nemoto, M; Langdon, TG: Influence of Channel Angle on the Development of Ultrafine Grains in Equal-channel Angular Pressing, 'Acta Materialia', vol. 46, no. 5, 1998, 1589–1599.
- Tcherdyntsev, Victor V; Stepashkin, Andrey A; Chukov, Dilyus I; Olifirov, Leonid K; Senatov, Fedor S: Formation of ethylene-vinyl acetate composites filled with Al–Cu– Fe and Al–Cu–Cr quasicrystallline particles, 'Journal of Materials Research and Technology', vol. 8, no. 1, 2019, 572–589.
- Natrayan, L; Singh, M; Kumar, MS: An experimental investigation on mechanical behaviour of SiCp reinforced Al 6061 MMC using squeeze casting process. 'Inter J Mech Prod Engi Res Develop.', vol. 7, 2017, 663-668.
- Abdel-Azim, AN; Shash, Y; Mostafa, SF; Younan, A: Casting of 2024-Al alloy reinforced with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles., 'J. Mater. Process. Tech.', vol. 55, 1995, 199-205.
- 14. Hajjari, E; Divandari, M: An investigation on the microstructure and tensile properties of direct squeeze cast and gravity die cast 2024 wrought Al alloy, 'Mater. Des.', vol. 29, 2008, 1685-1689.
- 15. Miracle, DB: Metal matrix composites–from science to technological significance, 'Compos. Sci. Technol.', vol. 65, 2005, 2526-2540.
- Zhangming; wei-wen, zhang; Zhaohai-Dong, Da-Tong, Zhang; Yuan-Yuan, Ll: Effect of pressure on microstructures and mechanical properties of Al-Cubased alloy prepared by squeeze casting, 'Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China', vol. 17, no. 3, 2007, 496-501.
- 17. Lin, Bo; Xu, Rui; Li, Haoyu; Shi, Ya; Xiao, Huaqiang; Zhang,

#### **Technical Paper**

Weiwen: Developing high performance squeeze cast Al-Cu alloys with high Fe and Cu contents, 'Int. Journal of Cast Metals Research', 32:2, 2019, 106-113.

- Karunakara, S; Dinesh, P: Mechanical and tribological characterization of aluminium-hematite composites, Materials Today: Proceedings, vol. 5, no. 1, Part 3, 2018, 2901-2906.
- Phanibhushana, MV; Chandrappa, CN; Niranjan, HB: Study of Wear Characteristics of Hematite Reinforced Aluminum MMCs, Materials Today: 'Proceedings', vol. 4, no. 2, Part A, 2017, 3484-3493.
- Cao, Shanshan; Kang, Feifei; Yang, Xin; Zhen, Zhen; Wei, Yu: Influence of Al substitution on magnetism and adsorption properties of hematite, 'Journal of Solid State Chemistry', vol. 228, 2015, 82-89.
- Nissar, Zuhaib; Kazi, Azharuddin; Safiulla, Mir; Faisal, Muhammad: A Thorough Study: In-Situ Aluminium LM6 Metal Matrix Composites Reinforced with Iron Oxide and MWCNTs, Materials Today: 'Proceedings', vol. 4, no. 11, Part 3, 2017, 11999-12006.
- Dabagh; Chaudhary, Shadab; Haider, Kashif; Ali, Zuhaib; Jalil: Effect of Sintering on Di-Electric Characteristics of Al–Cu Doped Cobalt Ferrite Nanoparticles, 'Journal of

Nanoscience and Nanotechnology', vol. 19, no. 7, 2019, 4142-4146.

- Unlu, Bekir Sadık; Atik, Enver: Tribological properties of journal bearings manufactured from particle reinforced Al composites, 'Materials & Design', vol. 30, no. 4, 2009, 1381-1385.
- Lu, L; Kwok, JKM; Lai, MO; Liu, YB; Lim, SC: Fabrication of an Al-4.5Cu/15SiC composite: II. Effects of the processing parameters on the fracture properties, 'Journal of Materials Processing Technology', vol. 37, no. 1–4, 1993, 453-462.
- 25. Mahendra, KV; Radhakrishna, K: Fabrication of Al–4.5% Cu alloy with fly ash metal matrix composites and its characterization, 'Materials Science-Poland', vol. 25, 2007, 57-68.
- Du, Rui; Gao, Qi; Wu, Shusen; Lü, Shulin; Zhou, Xiong: Influence of TiB<sub>2</sub> particles on aging behavior of in-situ TiB<sub>2</sub>/Al-4.5Cu composites, 'Materials Science and Engineering: A', vol. 721, no. 4, 2018, 244-250.
- Run-Xia, LI; Rong-de, LI; Yan-hua, Bai; Ying- dong, QU; Xiao-guang, Yuan: Transaction of nonferrous metals society of china, vol. 20, 2010, 59-63 ■



**Dr. GN Lokesh** is presently working as Associate Professor in Mechanical Engineering, at Presidency University, Bangalore. He is graduated in Mechanical Engineering from Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, Bangalore and M.Tech. in Production Engineering from UBDT College of Engineering, Davangere. He was awarded Ph.D in the year 2017 by Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belgaum. He is having a teaching experience of more than 19 years and he is a BOE/BOS member at VTU, Belgaum. He was also worked in Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation and worked on problem solving in fuel injectors at Divisional workshop in Tumkur Depot. He has published more than 30 technical papers in international journals and conferences. His specialization includes nickel-coated ceramic particles as precursors for MMCs fabrication and Hybrid composites for its secondary process.

**S** Shivakumar is presently working as Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering, at Sir M. Visvesvaraya Institute of Technology, Bangalore. He is graduated in Mechanical Engineering and M.Tech specialization in Design of Manufacturing. Currently he is pursuing Ph.D in Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belgaum and he is having a teaching experience of more than 15 years. He has published more than 15 technical papers in international journals and conferences. His specialization includes Smart Materials, nano composites and its characterization. (E-mail: hkumar.hiremath@gmail.com)





**Dr. S Karunakara** is presently a Professor and Head in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, at City Engineering College, Bangalore. He is a graduate in Mechanical Engineering from Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, Bangalore and M.Tech from M S Ramaiah Institute of Technology. He was awarded Ph.D in the year 2018 by Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belgaum. He is having a teaching experience of more than 18 years. He was an entrepreneur and manufactured precision components for L&T, Wipro and many Foundry companies. He has published more than 10 technical papers in international journals and conferences and his specialization includes Powder Metallurgy, Finite Element Analysis and Thermal properties of Metal Matrix Composites for Automobile applications. (E-mail: karunakara.74@gmail.com)

#### Manufacturing Technology Today, Vol. 18, No. 11, November 2019